



Amrut

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.656 OF 2024

SHUBH LAXMI JEWELERS, THR.
PROPRIETOR MAHADEV SHRIPAD
NAGVEKAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK AND ANR

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Parag Rao and Mr A. Parrikar, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr S. Samant, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr Gaurang Panandiker, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**CORAM:- M. S. KARNIK &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 27th November, 2024

P.C.:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1-Punjab National Bank has placed on record the communication dated 27.05.2023 of Cyber Cell ND & NSD, Kolkata Police and the same is marked 'X' for identification. The communication records that for the purpose of enquiry, respondent No.1 immediately stopped/freezed the fraudulent amount of Rs.55,145/- in respect of Bank Account No.4004002100006687. Respondent No.1-Bank may proceed to furnish the information called upon for the purpose of enquiry.

However, the petitioner's apprehension that the entire bank account will be freezed is unfounded as what has been stated in the communication is to immediately stop/freeze the fraudulent amount of Rs.55,145/-. Therefore, respondent No.1 while freezing the amount of Rs.55,145/- from the account No.4004002100006687 must permit the petitioner to operate the bank account for the balance amount. Hence, the account be defreezed except to the extent of Rs.55,145/-.

3. Annexed along with the affidavit in reply is the communication dated 12.07.2023, which on instructions of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner says that the account number which ends with 42595 does not belong to the petitioner. The petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

M. S. KARNIK, J.