

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE**

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.434 OF 2024

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO.57 OF 2023

PURUSHOTTAM V. GAONKAR (SINCE DEC.
THR. LRS)

... APPLICANTS

Versus

SHAMRAO R. NAIK GAONKAR ANR 16 ORS ... RESPONDENTS

Ld. Adv. Aniket Prabhu for the applicant present.

REGISTRAR'S ORDER

Ld. Adv. Aniket Prabhu for the applicant present, files memo of appearance and submits that steps were taken in respect of the respondent mentioned in the application. It is further stated that vide Bailiff report dated 20.12.2023, they were not served. Ld. Advocate for the appellant, further submitted that the addresses mentioned in the notices are the very same addresses mentioned in the cause-title of the impugned judgment. Since the addresses of the respondents mentioned are the very same addresses as per the cause-title in the impugned judgment, there is no possibility to serve this respondents by affixation. Issue notices to all the respondents by widely circulated local daily newspaper "Navprabha".

As regards to respondent no. 15A, it is noticed that as per the Bailiff report dated 18.12.2023, respondent no. 15A has refused to accept the notice. Hence, before proceeding for publication as respondent no. 15A

has refused to accept the notice. Issue notice by affixation upon payment of postal charges as contemplated under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC, 1908.

Application is allowed.

Dated: 21st November, 2024

(Sudhir S. Shirgaonkar)
(Registrar Judicial)

Rp*