



IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.628/2024

MAHAMOD MUNAF

... PETITIONER

*Versus*

STATE OF GOA, THR.  
SECRETARY (URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT) & 2 ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Sanket Kamat, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr Manish Salkar, Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr V. Braganza, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM: M. S. KARNIK &  
VALMIKI MENEZES, JJ.**

**DATE: 28<sup>th</sup> AUGUST 2024**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Our attention is invited to the Roznama Sheet dated 17.02.2022 which is at page 33 of the paperbook. On this date, the earlier complaint of the petitioner dated 01.02.2022 was disposed of upon the respondent no.3 agreeing not to encroach any further. The petitioner submits that despite giving undertaking, the respondent no.3 continued with the unauthorised construction.
3. The petitioner filed a complaint dated 02.06.2022 before respondent no.2. Mr V. Braganza appears for respondent no.2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if the complaint dated 02.06.2022 is considered by respondent no.2 and taken to its logical

conclusion. Accordingly, the respondent no.2 shall look into the complaint of the petitioner and take a decision thereon within a period of three months from today.

4. All contentions of the parties are kept open. With the above directions, we dispose of this petition. No costs.

**VALMIKI MENEZES, J.**

**M. S. KARNIK, J.**