

Amrut

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**

**MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.389 OF 2023**

**IN**

**FIRST APPEAL NO.1860 OF 2023 (F)**

ICICI LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE  
CO. LTD

... APPLICANT

Versus

HARISH VITHAL PRABHU GAONKAR AND 2  
ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr James Lopes, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr Shailesh Redkar, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr Adish Halarnkar, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM:- M. S. SONAK, J.**

**DATED :- 4th January, 2024**

P.C.:

Heard Mr Lopes, learned counsel for the Applicant, Mr Redkar, learned counsel for Respondent No.1 and Mr Halarnkar, learned counsel for Respondent No.3.

2. There is a delay of 151 days in instituting this appeal. The delay is sufficiently explained. Mr Halarnkar points out that only explanation relates to the movement of file from one table to other. From the perusal of the application, the movement of file from one table to other is one of the reasons. There are other reasons concerning the officials who had some difficulties in processing the matter. There were also some changes of officials who were dealing with the file.

The delay is also not inordinate and there is no lack of bonafide.

3. On cumulative consideration of all these circumstances, the delay is condoned.

4. Misc. Civil Application No.389 of 2023 is disposed of.

**M. S. SONAK, J.**

TARI AMRUT NAGESH Digitally signed by TARI AMRUT NAGESH  
Date: 2024.01.05 11:00:04 +05'30'