



IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.659/2024

NETAJI DATTU GAONKAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS
CHIEF SECRETARY AND 13
ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Prasheen Lotlikar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr S. P. Munj, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.
Mr H. Shirodkar, Advocate for Respondents no.2 to 6, 8 and 10.
Mr Arjun Naik, Advocate for Respondent No.13.

**CORAM: M. S. KARNIK &
VALMIKI MENEZES, JJ.**

DATE: 16th OCTOBER 2024

P.C.:

1. We have perused the petition. The relief prayed for in the petition is for a direction to the respondent no.14 to take action in terms of the complaint dated 14.11.2022 addressed by the petitioner to respondent no.14 and take the same to its logical conclusion. Objection is raised by respondent no.13 that this complaint is not addressed to respondent no.14.
2. Having regard to the averments made in the petition and considering the nature of the reliefs prayed, we are of the opinion that this petition itself can be treated as a representation by respondent no.14. Mr S. P. Munj, learned AGA on instructions submits that the complaint dated 14.11.2022 and this petition which is to be treated as representation will

be decided and taken to their logical conclusion by respondent no.14 within a period of six weeks from today. The Administrator of Comunidades may briefly hear the petitioner before passing any order. The parties to appear before the Administrator of Comunidades on 23.10.2024 at 11.00 a.m.

3. The petition is disposed of without any order for costs.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

M. S. KARNIK, J.