



vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.3 OF 2023

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.293 OF 2008

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.3 OF 2023

MARIA ILDA MARGARIDA QUITEIRO E

CORTEZ AND 2 ORS

... PETITIONERS

Versus

FOMENTO CORP. REP. BY DILIP TIMBLO

AND 4 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.293 OF 2008

MARIA ILDA MARGARIDA QUITEIRO E

CORTEZ AND 2 ORS.,

... PETITIONER

Versus

FOMENTO CORP. REPRESENTED BY DILIP

TIMBLO

... RESPONDENT

Mr P. Sawant, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr P. Talaulikar, Advocate for the respondent no.3.

CORAM:- BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.

DATED :- 3rd October, 2024

P.C.

Mr P. Talaulikar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no. 3 hands in a cheque of Rs.126695/- towards arrears of salary as directed by this Court vide order dated 27.8.2018 in Writ Petition No. 293 of 2008.

2. Mr Talaulikar submits that reasons for non compliance is that affairs of the company was earlier looked after by respondent no. 4

alone and after business was shut down, present respondent no. 3 was under the impression that respondent no. 4 would comply with the order. Only after receipt of the notice of contempt of this Court, respondent no.3 realised about non compliance and immediately paid and acknowledged the payment by cheque today. It is the contention of Mr Talaulikar, that there is no deliberate intention not to comply with the orders of this Court.

3. Such contentions are considered.

4. Since the amount is now paid, the contempt application stands disposed of in above terms.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.