

Suchitra

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.90/2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.676/2018

PRAMOD LAXMIKANT

CUNCOLIENCAR AND 3 ORS.

... APPLICANTS

Versus

SUBHASH S. EKAWADE

AND 7 ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

Mr A. Nachinolkar, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr Sarvesh Kamat Malyekar, Advocate for Respondents No.1 and 2.

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATED: 19th JANUARY 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr Nachinolkar for the applicants and Mr Malyekar for respondents no.1 and 2.

2. Orders dated 11.03.2019 and 26.03.2019 are absolutely clear. There is no ambiguity whatsoever. This application seeking modification/clarification is entirely misconceived and deserves to be dismissed with costs. Possibly, this application is filed and kept pending to prejudice the proceedings before the Trial Court and

to avoid giving details of the sale deeds by which the petitioners have further transferred the properties.

3. Accordingly, this civil application is dismissed with costs. However, on the assurance of Mr Nachinolkar that the petitioners will comply with the orders within reasonable time, no costs are imposed.

4. Misc. Civil Application No.90/2021 is disposed of.

M. S. SONAK, J.

SUCHITRA
NANDAN
SINGBAL

Digitally signed by
SUCHITRA NANDAN
SINGBAL
Date: 2024.01.22
15:11:45 +05'30'