

Shakuntala

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.412 OF 2024 (F)
IN
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.33 OF 2024
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.188 OF 2024
(F),CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.187 OF 2024
(F)

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.412 OF 2024 (F)
IN
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.33 OF 2024

SANTOSH BABLO KUNKALEKAR
@ SANTOSH BABLO KUNCOLEKER ... APPLICANT
Versus
SHIRODA URBAN COOP SOCIETY LTD
REP. BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER NEETA
S. KALMEKAR AND ANR ... RESPONDENTS

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.33 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.188 OF 2024
(F)

MR. SANTOSH BABLO KUNKALEKAR @
SANTOSH BABLO KUNCOLEKER ... APPLICANT
Versus
SHIRODA URBAN COOP CREDIT SOCIETY
LTD REP. BY ITS BR. MANAGER NEETA
KALMEKAR AND ORS ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.188 OF 2024
(F)

SANTOSH BABLO KUNKALEKAR @ SANTOSH
BABLO KUNCOLEKER ... APPLICANT
Versus
THE SHIRODA URBAN COOP SOCIETY LTD
REP. BY ITS BR. MANAGER NEETA

KALMEKAR AND ANR

... RESPONDENTS

**WITH
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.187 OF 2024 (F)
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.188 OF 2024
(F)**

MR. SANTOSH BABLO KUNKALEKAR @

SANTOSH BABLO KUNCOLEKAR ... APPLICANT

Versus

SHIRODA URBAN COOP SOCIETY LTD

REP. BY ITS BR. MANAGER NEETA

KALMEKAR AND ANR

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Rohan Kuncolikar with Ms. Sangeeta Raul, Advocates for Applicant.

Ms. Sanjana Kakodkar, Advocate for Respondent No.1.Mr.

Nikhil Vaze, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.

**CORAM:- BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED :- 06th May, 2024**

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Applicant who submits that the entire amount is already paid to Respondent No. 1-Society. He further submits that an amount of 15% is deposited with this Court on 04.05.2024 for the purpose of compounding of the said offence.
2. He placed on record a memo along with a copy of the demand draft.
3. The learned counsel for the Respondent admits that the entire amount is paid to the Society and No Dues Certificate is also issued.

4. She further submits that the Society has no objection for the compounding of the said offence.
5. There is a delay in filing of the revision challenging the conviction. Since parties have filed a compounding application, the delay stands condoned.
6. Application for compounding is allowed. The amount deposited by the Applicant i.e. Rs.54,200/-(Rupees Fifty-Four Thousand Two Hundred only) by demand draft shall be considered as the charges for compounding and are to be handed over to Goa State Legal Services Authority. The offense stands compounded.
7. Judgment passed by the learned Magistrate and confirmed by the First Appellate Authority thereby convicting the Applicant under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is hereby quashed and set aside, thereby permitting the parties to compound the said offense.
8. Accordingly, the present application and the revision petition along with the pending application stands disposed of.
9. Parties shall act on an authenticated copy of the order.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.