

Amrut

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.211 OF 2024

PREMANAND NAKUL KADAM

... PETITIONER

Versus

THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR I OF
PANCHAYATS AND ANR

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Omkar Kulkarni, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr S. P. Munj, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent
No.1.

**CORAM:- M. S. SONAK &
VALMIKI SA MENEZES, JJ.**

DATED :- 12th March, 2024

P.C.:

Heard Mr Kulkarni, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr
Munj, learned Additional Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.

2. For the order that we propose to make, notice to the second
Respondent is not necessary.

3. The Panchayat directed the second Respondent to cut two
trees which allegedly pose danger to the Petitioner's house and like.

4. The second Respondent has instituted the proceedings before

the Additional Director of Panchayats i.e. Panchayat Appeal bearing No.154/2020. This appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution and the second Respondent has filed an application for restoration.

5. Mr Kulkarni on instructions states that the Panchayat appeal may be restored but the same should be disposed of expeditiously within a time-bound schedule. This approach is quite fair.

6. Accordingly, the Panchayat Appeal No.154/2020 is hereby restored to the file and Mr Munj, learned Additional Government Advocate states that the Additional Director of Panchayats will dispose of the Panchayat appeal on merits as expeditiously as possible and in any case, within two months from today.

7. By recording the above, we dispose of this petition. The Additional Director of Panchayats, consistent with the statement now made by the learned Additional Government Advocate must dispose of the appeal within two months from today.

8. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

VALMIKI SA MENEZES, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.