



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

906 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 702 OF 2024

Rajendrasingh Shivsingh DhobalPetitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anotherRespondents

.....

Mr. Ajinkya Narke, Advocate holding for Mr. S. R. Sapkal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A. A. Khan, APP for the State.

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 24th SEPTEMBER, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. It is strange to note here that even when the matters are listed for return of service, urgent circulations are sought that too without complying with on their part. It seems to be unhealthy practice. Even today, the Petitioner has not filed service affidavit. When the matter was already listed for return of service, there was no necessity to seek urgent circulation unless there is extreme urgency. Today, learned counsel for the Petitioner is not even disclosing the urgency in the matter. Hence, the Court expresses displeasure on such practice seeking circulation unnecessarily in the matter which is really not urgent.

2. Notice of Respondent No. 2 is awaited.
3. Stand over to 20.11.2024.

(S. G. MEHARE)
Judge

dyb