



{1}

915 sr.no..odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1873 OF 2024
IN WP/180/2024**

GORAKH MORESHWAR DEOKAR AND OTHERS

....Applicant

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Temak Rahul Bhausahab
GP for Respondent/State: Mr. A.B. Girase.
Mr. P.S. Paranjape.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 180 OF 2024

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1763 OF 2024

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1875 OF 2024
IN WP/12998/2023**

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1876 OF 2024
IN WP/13025/2023**

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1874 OF 2024
IN WP/13008/2023**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1764 OF 2024

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 13025 OF 2023

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 13008 OF 2023**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 12192 OF 2022**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 13705 OF 2021**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 12998 OF 2023**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 15906 OF 2023**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 15701 OF 2023**

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 126 OF 2024**

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 21st FEBRUARY. 2024.

P.C. :-

1. Learned advocate Mr. Paranjape, appearing for respondent No.4 seeks further accommodation to file affidavit-in-reply, which the learned advocate for the petitioner has objected. However, as a last chance, we may grant time to respondent No.4 to file affidavit-in-reply in view of the fact that the learned advocate Mr. Paranjape is making a statement, upon instructions, that the respondent No.4 will not go ahead with the appointment, in view of the advertisement, for a period of two weeks.

2. Affidavit in reply be filed within a period of two weeks. Place the matter for further consideration on 11.3.2024. It is clarified that till that date, respondent No.4 shall not go ahead with the appointments.

3. De-tag W.P. No. 12192 of 2022 and W.P. 13705 of 2021.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J]

grt/-