



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 WRIT PETITION NO. 3566 OF 2024

NIRMALABAI SUBHASH PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Chalak Amol Balasaheb.
AGP for Respondent/s-State : Mr. S. N. Kendre.
...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.
DATE : 04.04.2024

PER COURT :-

1. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.04.2024.
2. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondents-State Authorities.
3. In addition to the regular mode of service, the petitioner may serve privately. *Hamdast* allowed.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the notice of no confidence motion is against the law. Since the notice was not served, the petitioner did not attend the no confidence motion meeting. He would also submit that on the

day of so called meeting, he was hospitalized. However, the Collector has specifically observed that the notice of the meeting was served upon the petitioner. The evidence of service of notice was also placed before the Collector.

6. In view of the findings based upon the facts, the prayer of the petitioner for interim protection cannot be granted.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

...

vmk/-