



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 2774 OF 2024

MOHINI SATISH SARSAR

VERSUS

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA BRANCH APMC NANDED

...

AND

922 WRIT PETITION NO. 2784 OF 2024

NANDKUMAR NARAYANRAO SAKHARKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA BRANCH APMC NANDED

...

Shri Sharma Krishna Anil, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri Ruturaj C. Patil, Advocate for the sole Respondent.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE :- 24th September, 2024

Per Court :-

1. Having heard the learned Advocates for the respective sides and having noted the participation of the Petitioners in the proceedings before the Debt Recovery Tribunal, which is brought to our notice by the learned Advocate for the Respondent/ Bank, it is apparent that the Petitioners have suppressed material information from this Court. We were

inclined to refer to the law laid down by the Honourable Supreme Court in ***Kishore Samrite v/s State of Uttar Pradesh, (2013) 2 SCC 398, Bhaskar Laxman Jadhav and others vs. Karamveer Kakasaheb Wagh Education Society, AIR 2013 SC 523*** and ***PHR Invent Educational Society vs. UCO Bank and others, AIR 2024 SC 1893***. However, the learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners desire to withdraw these petitions.

2. In view of the above statement, **these Writ Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.**

kps (Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)