



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

17 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 810 OF 2024
IN RAST/39301/2023

Tarabai Bapurao Jadhav And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Barlinge Sudhir Raghunath

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 to 4 : Mr. D.R. Korde

Advocate for Respondent No. 5 : Mr. K.P. Rodge

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 08.07.2024

PER COURT :

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 154 days in preferring a review application.

2. We have heard both the sides.

3. The learned advocate for the applicants submits that the delay has occasioned *bona fide*. The applicants are the original petitioners. They were not to gain anything by using the remedy of resorting to review. Considering the nature of the dispute and the reasons assigned in the application, the delay be condoned.

4. The learned A.G.P. and the learned advocate for respondent no. 5 oppose the application.

5. Respondent no. 6 has not appeared in spite of service of notice.

6. Considering the nature of the dispute and the reasons mentioned in

the application, coupled with the fact that the reasons have gone without any demur, the application is allowed. The delay is condoned. Register the review application.

7. Issue notice in the review application, returnable on 05.08.2024.
8. The learned A.G.P waives service for respondent nos. 1 to 4.
9. The learned advocate Mr. Rodge waives service for respondent no. 5.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-