



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

903 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2334 OF 2023

Arjun Nagnath Badvane
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Ghanekar Nilesh S.
APP for Respondent: Mr. Mukesh K. Goyanka

.....

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.
DATED : 27th FEBRUARY, 2024.

PER COURT :-

1. Heard both sides. When this court expressed disinclination to allow this application, learned advocate for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this application.
2. Leave granted. The application is disposed of as withdrawn.
3. Learned advocate for the applicant submitted the trial in the case may be expedited. It is submitted that out of five accused, two accused are absconding, against whom charge sheet is filed under Section 299 of the Cr.P.C. and therefore, it would be proper to spilt the trial.
4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for expeditious trial deserves to be allowed. If the trial court

found that two accused, who are released on bail are not attending the court, then their bail can be cancelled. If the advocates for the accused are not co-operating for conducting the trial expeditiously, then heavy costs may be saddled upon the concerned accused. The trial court is therefore, directed to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within six (06) months from today. The trial court is expected to conduct the trial on day to day basis. In the strict sense of word "session", needless to state that the session means once it is started it shall not be stopped.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

rlj/