



(1)

980ba2332.23

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

980 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2332 OF 2023

SHIVAJI ASARAM KADAM

....Applicant

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

Mr. S. B. Surse, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Rajdeep D. Raut, APP for the respondents/State

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 03rd APRIL, 2024

P. C.

1. Heard both the sides.

2. When this court expressed its disinclination to allow the bail application, the learned advocate for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the application. He further submits that trial be expedited. Leave is granted as prayed for.

3. Considering the peculiar facts of the case, it would be proper to direct the trial court to hear the case as

expeditiously as possible and conclude the trial within six months.

4. Needless to mention that 'Sessions' means, once it is started, it shall not be stopped unless and until it is concluded.

5. The criminal application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

6. If the trial is not concluded, the trial court to note that the applicant is entitled for bail on the principle of constitutional rights contemplated under Article 21 of the Constitution of India i.e. right to speedy trial.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]