



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

917 APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF BAIL NO. 247 OF 2023

The State of Maharashtra Thr Police Station Jamner Police Station

VERSUS

Dharmeshbhai Mohanbhai Vaishnav and another

...

A.P.P. for Applicant : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. Ravindra C. Misal h/f
Mr. Amol S. Sawant

...

WITH
APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF BAIL NO. 222 OF 2023

The State of Maharashtra

VERSUS

Hiren Lalit Lodhaya And Another

...

A.P.P. for Applicant : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya

.....

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATED : 25th JUNE, 2024.

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned A.P.P. for the applicant in both the matters.
2. It is the contention of the learned A.P.P. that the trial court has allowed the bail applications without considering the merits of the case. Hence, it is necessary to cancel the bail.
3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the respondents that respondents had challenged the report of the local

Laboratory to the National Laboratory. In the report of National Laboratory, it is mentioned that there was no chemical found in the product. The product itself is phosphate and there is no ground to cancel the bail.

4. I have heard both the learned counsel. Perused the impugned order. While granting bail, the trial court has passed reasoned order. Moreover, the respondents have challenged the testing report issued by the State Laboratory before the National Laboratory and as per the report of National Laboratory, there was no chemical found in the product. Considering this fact, the order granting bail to the respondents, passed by the trial court, is legal and valid and I pass the following order:-

O R D E R

Both the applications are rejected.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)

rlj/