



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1005 WRIT PETITION NO. 348 OF 2024

VANDANA SANTOSH PAWALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kamble Dinkar G.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya
Advocate for R/2 : Mr. S.N. Janakwade
...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 9th January, 2024

PC. :-

1. The Petitioner claims to be the daughter of an employee. That employee is aggrieved by the non payment of the retiral benefits. He is not before this Court. The petition is filed by the daughter without any pleadings in the memo or even a statement in the verification that she has been authorized by the father to file this petition.
2. The learned advocate for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner will withdraw this petition and her father will file the petition claiming his retiral benefits.
3. With the above liberty, **this petition is disposed off as withdrawn.**

[Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]