



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH  
AT AURANGABAD

**908 WRIT PETITION NO. 14489 OF 2023**

PRAMILA ANANTRAO PUJALWAR AND ANOTHER  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH EXCISE  
DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. Anil H. Kasliwal.  
AGP for Respondents-State : Mrs. M. L. Sangit.  
Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. A. K. Tiwari.

...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.  
DATE : 07.03.2024

**PER COURT :-**

1. Heard the respective learned counsels.
2. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3/State Authorities.
3. The submissions of the parties reveal that the Commissioner (State Excise), Mumbai heard the appeal against the order of Collector and reserved for judgment.
4. The grievance of the petitioners is that after hearing the arguments, two months on, yet the judgment is not delivered. Except for this, there is no grievance. However, the Commissioner, State Excise Department, Mumbai has not been

made party. Leave granted to add him as a party. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that there was no deliberate delay in delivering the judgment. The Office of the Commissioner has been shifted to new building and it is likely to function fulfilled from 11.03.2024.

6. In the circumstances, the matter may be disposed of by directing the Commissioner (State Excise), Mumbai to deliver the judgment within two (2) weeks from the receipt of this order.

7. In above terms, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(S. G. MEHARE, J.)**

...

vmk/-