



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

28 WRIT PETITION NO. 3555 OF 2024

BEBABDI DILIP LOKHANDE AND OTHERS

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

AND

35 WRIT PETITION NO. 3563 OF 2024

INDUBAI SANTOSH PATIL AND OTHERS

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

....

Mr N. R. Thorat, Advocate h/f Mr G. R. Baviskar, Advocate for
Petitioners;

Mr D. R. Korade and Mr R. K. Ingole, A.G.Ps. for
Respondents/State in respective Petitions

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

AND

R. M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 8th April, 2024

PER COURT:

1. In both these matters, the grievance of the Petitioners is that the benefits on account of acquisition were not extended to them, though they were extended to identically placed persons. The names of identically placed land losers are Sanjay Gorakh

(2)

Bhadake, Dattatraya Gorakh Bhadake, Smt. Nandabai Manik Ugale, Ganesh Manik Ugale, Smt. Laxmibai Karbhari Mete, Vimalgiri Maharaj Dattashram, Karbhari Gajanan Mete. The Petitioners pray that, being identically placed, they should also be granted identical benefits. They have tendered a representation through an Advocate, dated 30/09/2022, putting forth such claims.

2. In view of the above, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off.** We expect Respondent/District Collector, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, to consider the representations of these Petitioners in the light of the specific cases (names mentioned above) and if the Petitioners are identically placed, a decision be taken on their request for identical reliefs. If there are any legal impediments, the District Collector is at liberty to pass a reasoned order and convey the said order to each of these Petitioners. Let this exercise be completed within 60 days.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk