



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

964 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4064 OF 2023
IN REVNST/11440/2023

SAMADHAN KISAN MORE
VERSUS
VANDANA SAMADHAN MORE AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. K. R. Yadav h/f Mr. Salunke
Sudarshan J.

Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Ms. Shilpa D. Magre
(appointed).

...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.
DATE : 05.07.2024

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the situation was beyond the control of the applicant. The application is bonafide.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents strongly opposed the application. She would submit that the application is not bonafide. He barely wanted to protract the trial.
4. Perused the application. There are sufficient reasons to condone the delay. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

- (i) Criminal Application is allowed.
- (ii) The delay caused in preferring the revision against the order of the learned Judge, Family Court, Parbhani in Petition No.E-13 of 2020, dated 21.03.3023.
- (iii) Office to register the criminal revision application.
- (iv) Learned counsel Ms. Magre waives service of notice for the respondents.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

...

vmk/-