



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13721 OF 2024

**MAROTI BALAJI MUPADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...
Shri Omprakash Totawad, Advocate i/by Shri Thorat
Chandrakant R., Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms.V.N. Patil Jadhav, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 3/State.
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL
&
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 16th December, 2024

Per Court :-

The petitioner's claim for validation of tribe certificate has been pending before respondent No.3 Scrutiny Committee.

2. It is being pointed out that it was common vigilance enquiry in the matters of several blood relatives. There was common response. Though the Scrutiny Committee chose to decide the claims of individuals separately, the petitioner's claim has been kept pending inspite of the fact that some of these individuals in common vigilance enquiry have subsequently been

held entitled to have the certificates of validity, namely, Akshay Digambar Mupade, Pooja Vilas Mupade and Neha Vinod Mupade.

3. We dispose of the Writ Petition directing respondent No.3 Scrutiny Committee to decide the petitioner's proposal as expeditiously as possible and in any case within four weeks.

4. Respondent No.2 Employer shall not initiate any adverse action against the petitioner for a period of five weeks on the ground of his inability to produce the certificate of validity.

kps

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)