



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

959 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2054 OF 2024

ABRAR AHMAD KHAN MOHAMMAD KHAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Dhananjay M. Shinde – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. A.A.A. Khan – APP for Respondents, State

....

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATE : 11th DECEMBER, 2024

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned Counsel for applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the respondents – State.
2. The applicant is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No. 416 of 2024, registered with Bhokar Police Station, Dist. Nanded for the offences punishable under Sections 123, 223, 275 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 59(iv) of Food Safety and Standard Act.
3. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that, this Court by order dated 14.11.2024 passed in ABA No.1670 of 2024 at para no. 3 has observed as under :

“3] Considering the judgment of this Court in the case of Gaurav Jayantbhai Hapani Vs. The State of Maharashtra in

Anticipatory Bail Application No.3406 of 2022, decided on 19.01.2023 and also the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Eknath Bhalchandra Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra in Petition (s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.) No. 8698/2023, decided on 28.07.2023, wherein in identical fact situation, the Hon'ble Supreme Court so also this Court in the above noted judgments has observed that applicability of Section 328 of Indian Penal Code [123 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita] is doubted in the case of seizure of tobacco and tobacco products including Gutkha and anticipatory bail has been granted. In the instant case also, except Section 123 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, all other offences are bailable and the same is not disputed by the learned APP and the matter pertains to seizure of tobacco and tobacco products including Gutkha."

4. The law stated in the aforesaid order would also apply to the instant case. It is informed that except Section 123 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, all other offences are bailable.
5. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that, this Court by order dated 04.12.2024 has granted interim protection in favour of applicant and the applicant has attended the concerned Police Station and has cooperated with the Investigating Officer.
6. Learned A.P.P. has not disputed the aforesaid fact.
7. In view of the same, the earlier interim protection granted by order dated 04.12.2024 stands confirmed on following conditions:

[i] The applicant shall attend the police station as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

[ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

[iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

8. In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

9. It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

10. The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE