



{1}

Sr. Nos. 20,21,22.odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

20 WRIT PETITION NO. 2938 OF 2024

MANOJ PUNDLIK YEOLE

....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

.....Respondent

.....
AND

21 WRIT PETITION NO. 2939 OF 2024

GOVINDA PRAKASH DHANGAR

....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

.....Respondent

.....

22 WRIT PETITION NO. 2940 OF 2024

SUARNALATA MAHENDRA BHAMRE

....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Bachate Pralhad D.

AGP for Respondents: Mr. K.S. Patil/ S.J. Salgare and Smt. Kalpalata
Patil Bharaswadkar, in sr. Nos. 20,21 and 22, respectively.

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 18th MARCH, 2024.

P.C. :-

All these petitions are for giving directions to the respondent No.3 Education Officer to decide the proposal filed by the school in respect of approval to their appointments.

2. There is no necessity to issue notice to respondent management and the School. The learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and on instructions, statement is made that the respondent No.3 shall decide the proposal within a period of 8 weeks.

3. In view of the said statement, petitions stand disposed of by giving directions to the respondent No.3 Education Officer (Secondary) to decide the proposal submitted by the School within a period of eight weeks from today.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J]

grt/-