



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1 BAIL APPLICATION NO.1942 OF 2023

MAZHAR KHAN OSMAN KHAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Ostwal Abhaykumar Dilip.

APP for Respondent-State : Mr. A. S. Shinde.

...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 24.01.2024

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the respondent-State.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant consulted with the lawyer for applicant in Trial Court and on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the bail application. It is clarified that the facts which were already on record were again tried to be argued. Hence, the Court had expressed disinclination to grant the bail. Since it is prayed to withdraw the application, leave granted to withdraw the bail application.
3. Bail application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Aurangabad is reminded that there is no stage for draft charge. Framing of the charge is the duty of the Court. He shall bear in mind the law and do not adjourn the matter only for draft charge. He should frame the charge without waiting for draft charge.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

...

vmk/-