



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

12 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2000 OF 2024

MR. JINNA SHRINIWAS REDDY

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Kedar Balbhim R.

APP for Respondent/State: Ms. V. S. Choudhari

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 25th NOVEMBER, 2024

PER COURT:

1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to elections the police would not proceed with the investigation and, as such, appearance before the police station was not warranted although the applicant has remained present there. The learned counsel further submits that the applicant is present today in the police station.

2. In view of the same, the applicant is permitted to remain present before the police for next four (04) days from today i.e. from 25.11.2024 till 28.11.2024 and, thereafter, as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

3. Clause no.(iv) [wrongly mentioned as clause (iii)] of the order dated 14.11.2024 is quoted below:

“(iii) Applicant shall attend concerned police station and make himself available for interrogation to the Investigating Officer for 15 days at a stretch from date of this order between 9 a.m. to 11.a.m. and after that as and when called by the Investigating Officer (in writing) and co-operate in the investigation without any excuse.”

4. As such, clause no.(iv) [wrongly mentioned as clause (iii)] of the order dated 14.11.2024 would stand modified accordingly.

5. The application stands accordingly disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

marathe