



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12739 OF 2024

Umakant Vasantrya Borikar .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Shrikant B. Madde, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri N. S. Tekale, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. G. MEHARE AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATE : 18TH DECEMBER, 2024.**

ORDER :

. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, we are not inclined to entertain the petition.

2. Admittedly, the petitioner has defaulted the installments of loan. Conveniently he is blaming the respondent No. 4 that he was not given notice. In the interregnum he was not getting salary. Now he is reinstated in service, so the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 started recovery of loan. The petitioner is asking for some data. He may apply to the respondent No. 3 properly if the law permits.

3. Since we are not convinced, the writ petition is dismissed.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]
bsb/Dec. 24

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]