



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

965 CONT. PETITION NO. 845 OF 2023

SHIVBHUSHAN LAXMANRAO JADHAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA SUJATA SAUNIK THROUGH
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT
MANTRALAY AND ORS.

...

AGP for Respondents: Mr.M.M.Nerlikar

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAMHE, JJ.

DATE : 02 FEBRUARY, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. None present for the petitioner.
2. The rejoinder sought to be filed has not been filed. It has been informed, as recorded in the minutes dated 05.01.2024, that the directions of this Courts have been followed and the petitioner's representation has been considered and decided.
3. It is only on the ground that the petitioner was agitating that he was not communicated the decision, that liberty was extended to file the rejoinder.

4. There is no dispute about the fact that the directions of the Court have been complied with.

5. Nothing survives in the petition, the petition is disposed off.

(SHAILESH P. BRAMHE)
JUDGE

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

mahajansb/