



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12501 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9894 OF 2023

SAMINA GOHER MOHAMMED SOFI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12504 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9860 OF 2023

NILESH BHAUSAHEB GOSAVI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12505 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9876 OF 2023

PRAMILA TUKARAM MARBHAL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12506 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9864 OF 2023

RAJESH NILKANTH KOHOK

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12508 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9874 OF 2023

APPASAHEB KACHARU ADHAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

**912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12510 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9863 OF 2023**

PREETI AVINASH JOSHI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

AND

**913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12511 OF 2024
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9862 OF 2023**

MANJABAPU KASHINATH URHE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Arvind G. Ambetkar – Advocate for Applicants

Mr. S.P. Joshi, Mr. A.S. Shinde, Mr. S.S. Dande, Mr. V.M. Kagane and Ms. P.V. Diggikar – AGP's for Respondents, State

....

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE AND

SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 22nd NOVEMBER, 2024

PER COURT :

1. Heard respective learned Counsel.
2. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives service for all the respondents, State.
3. This Court by common order dated 12.01.2024 dismissed the petitions for the reason that the office objections were not removed. It seems that the approach of the

respective petitioners, in such matters is very casual and after delay of 227 days they moved the application for restoration and condonation of delay. It seems that, the liberal view of the Court is taken otherwise. To control such a conduct the Court is compelled to apply strict yardstick in condoning the delay. Even in a pension case the parties appears not diligent. However, to protect the interest of the petitioners the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs.3,000/- (rupees Three Thousands only) each to be paid to the Aurangabad High Court, Dispensary, within two weeks from today.

4. After depositing the cost, the petitions be restored to their original position.
5. Stand over to 23rd January, 2025.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(S.G. MEHARE, J.)

Pooja Kale