



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

14 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1828 OF 2024

MUNIR @ MUNNA S/O NIZAM PATHAN (C-7900)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Dinesh D. Daud Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kotecha, A.P.P. for Respondent - State.

...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
R.W. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 25th NOVEMBER, 2024

ORDER :

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that since the appeal has been preferred by him before respondent No.2, at this stage he is not pressing for prayer clause "(B)".

2. Learned APP after getting written instructions from respondent No.2, which are marked as Exhibit-A, prays for six weeks time to decide the appeal.

3. Important point to be noted is that the appeal appears to have been filed on 2nd October 2024, which is in respect of

rejection of the parole leave. The purpose of leave would get frustrated if time as stated in the written instructions Exhibit-A is granted. According to us, period of four weeks would be sufficient. Therefore, we dispose of the Writ Petition by giving directions to respondent No.2 to decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner on 2nd October 2024, within a period of four weeks from today.

[R.W. JOSHI]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

asb/NOV24