



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12385 OF 2024

**UMESH ENTERPRISES THROUGH
ITS PROP UMESH PRAMOD CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. Reddy Avinash M
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. N.S. Tekale
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Atul Pawar h/f Mr. Deshmukh

...

**CORAM : S. G. MEHARE AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 18th NOVEMBER 2024

PER COURT :

. Heard.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the tender, petitioner has completed the work. However the bills are not paid.

3. Considering the dispute between the parties, this Court holds that the writ does not lie, as the alternate remedy is available in law to the petitioner.

4. The writ petition stands dismissed.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]