

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

936 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4346 OF 2024

YOGESH ARUN JADHAV AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Pritam Purushottam Jadhav
APP for Respondents/State : Ms. Priya Bharaswadkar
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. S.W. Shinde h/f Mr. J.V.
Patil.
...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
R. W. JOSHI, JJ.
DATE : 04.12.2024.

PER COURT :

1. The applicants and respondent No. 2 had arrived at compromise. They had filed documents styled as affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 on the last occasion, which was not in proper format. However, the verification of the same was got down through the learned Registrar, (Judicial) of this Court.

2. Now a compromise deed between applicant No. 1 and respondent No. 2 in a proper format has been produced. It is

therefore taken on record. The parties have settled their dispute amicably and it appears that the husband and wife have decided to part happily. There is provision for permanent alimony that has been made and also provisions for maintenance to her son. Respondent No. 2 has agreed to withdraw all the proceedings initiated by her against the applicants and therefore, now she has no objection for quashing the FIR and the proceedings and therefore, we take this is a fit case to exercise powers under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, hence the following order :

ORDER

(i) The Criminal Application stands allowed.

(ii) The proceedings in RCC No. 844 of 2023 pending before the learned 2nd Jt. Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Jalgaon arising out of the First Information Report bearing No. 99 of 2023 dated 28.03.2023, registered with Ramanand Police Station, Jalgaon for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 498-A, 504, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code stands quashed and set aside.

(R.W. JOSHI)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE