

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**904 SECOND APPEAL NO. 377 OF 1991
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12006 OF 2022
IN SA/377/1991
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2019 OF 2022
IN SA/377/1991**

**SHANKAR KASHINATH VIBHUTE.
VERSUS
KRISHNA VITHOBA KALE AND ANOTHER.**

...
Advocate for Appellant : Mrs. Rekha Choudhary h/f Mr. S.S.
Choudhary

Advocate for Respondent Nos.1-b-1 to 1-b-4 : Mr. V.C. Solshe

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : OCTOBER 8, 2024**

ORDER:-

1. During the pendency of the appeal, the appellant Nos. (i) to (vii) and respondent Nos. 1/B/1 to 1/B/4 entered into compromise. Accordingly, they entered into compromise terms identified as 'X'. Those compromise terms vide order dated 07.10.2024 were referred to the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court for verification of the contents. Accordingly, the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court submitted his report dated 07.10.2024 by mentioning that the parties have voluntarily entered into compromise terms (X). The name of

respondent Nos. 2A to 2/F are already deleted.

2. Therefore, the compromise terms are accepted and the appeal is disposed of in terms of those compromise terms. Decree be drawn in terms of those compromise terms.

3. Pending Civil Applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

Y.S. Kulkarni