



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

916 WRIT PETITION NO. 930 OF 2024

**DATTATRAYA BALIRAM JADHAV AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

AND

917 WRIT PETITION NO. 988 OF 2024

**BABASAHEB TATYA TAMBE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

AND

918 WRIT PETITION NO. 989 OF 2024

**MAHESH BALASAHEB JADHAV AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

AND

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 1002 OF 2024

**BALUBAI ABHIMAN CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

AND

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 1003 OF 2024

**PRAVIN HARI MULE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

....

Mr. S. P. Dhobale, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. A. B. Girase, Government Pleader a/w Mr. S. K. Tambe, AGP for
Respondent – State

....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 30.01.2024

PER COURT :-

1. By these Petitions, the Petitioners seek to challenge the order of the Tahsildar dated 17.05.2022.

2. An efficacious statutory remedy is available under Section 247 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code. The Petitioners have also challenged mutation entries before the appellate authority.

3. When a statutory remedy is available and a path of litigation is prescribed under the statute, we do not find that we should exercise our Writ jurisdiction. **These Writ Petitions are, therefore, disposed off.**

4. All contentions are kept open.

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]