



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.13945 OF 2021

Sunil Laxmanrao Kadam ... PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai.
 2. The Joint Director of Vocational
Education and Training, Aurangabad
Division, Aurangabad.
 3. Shri Bankat Swamy Shikshan Sanstha,
Khadki Ghat, Taluka and District Beed,
through its Secretary.
 4. The Headmaster,
Mahatma Phule Ucchamadhyamik
Vidyalaya, Pargaon, Taluka Washi,
District Osmanabad.
- ... RESPONDENTS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kalyan Patil h/f. Mr. S.R. Mr. Barlinge
AGP for Respondents: Mr. K.S. Patil
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S.R. Kolhare

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 08.07.2024

PER COURT:

We have heard both the sides.

2. The petitioner initially was challenging the order of
suspension and also solicited directions for payment of subsistence
allowance and the salary.

3. In the light of subsequent termination, which was set aside by the School Tribunal, the parties are *ad idem* that the petitioner has been reinstated and has been paid full back wages. They are also unanimous that the only aspect of passing specific order in the light of Rule 34 (4) of the Maharashtra Employees of Private Schools' (Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981 (the MEPS Rules) has remained to be complied with.

4. Learned advocate Mr. Kolhare for the respondent No.3 Management, on instructions, submits that appropriate specific order in the light of Rule 34 (4) of the MEPS Rules would be passed within two weeks. We accept the statement as an undertaking to the Court.

5. In the light of the above, nothing survives. The writ petition is disposed of.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb