



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

931 WRIT PETITION NO. 11755 OF 2024

BALASAHEB TATYARAM VEER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Wasif Shaikh h/f Mr. Kirjawalekar Nikita
Sanjay

AGP for Respondent no. 1 & 4 State : Mr. S.R. Wakale

Advocate for Respondent nos. 2 and 3 : Mr. Prashant Suryawanshi

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR , JJ.

DATE : 20.12.2024

PER COURT :

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner, the learned A.G.P. as also the learned advocate for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

2. Though the petitioner is apparently challenging the show cause notice and the subsequent charge-sheet on the premise that it is a matter of duplication, he having been already held guilty and have been censured, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent nos. 1 and 2 are directed to consider his application at page nos. 195 to 206 dated 29.08.2024, whereby he has sought to advert their attention to such duplication.

3. We dispose of the writ petition directing respondent nos. 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's application on its own merits and take appropriate decision in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within four weeks.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-