



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

38 WRIT PETITION NO.11366 OF 2024

Shaikh Mohd. Isak Mohd. Gajani And Another

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through It's Secretary And Others

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. V.A. Bagal

AGP for Respondents : Mr A R Kale

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

Dated : October 14, 2024

PER COURT :-

1. Leave to correct the prayer clause. Correction be carried out forthwith.
2. Heard learned advocate for the petitioners and the learned AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.
3. Alternative prayer of the petitioners is that, respondent no.2 to consider the complaints of the petitioners dated 18.1.2022, 15.5.2023 and 12.7.2024 against respondent 4 and pass appropriate order.
4. The learned AGP, upon instructions from the respondent no.2, makes a statement that those complaints would be decided within a period of one week from today.
5. Said statement is taken as an undertaking and, therefore, the writ petition stands **disposed off** with directions

to respondent no.2 to decide those complaints within a period of one (1) week from today.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.) (SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)
...

aaa-