



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

925 WRIT PETITION NO. 11365 OF 2024

- 1) Maroti Shesherao Chilpimpare
- 2) Sarika Bhujangrao Borgawe
- 3) Sheetal Sheshrao Gaund
- 4) Deepali Vitthalrao Gaikwad
- 5) Hanmant Vitthalrao Gaikwad ... **Petitioners**

VERSUS

- 1) The State of Maharashtra and others ... **Respondents**

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Nagargoje Ankush Nivrutti

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. R.S. Wani

Advocate for Respondent no. 3 : Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar

Advocate for Respondent nos. 4 & 5 : Mr. Jagtap Akshay Subhash

...

CORAM : **MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : **11.10.2024**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The petitioners are anxious that their proposals for allocation of Shalarth I.D. are not being forwarded by the Management and the headmaster.

3. The learned advocate for respondent nos. 4 and 5 submits that they would forward the proposals within three weeks.

4. We accept the statement as an undertaking to the Court and dispose of the writ petition with a direction that respondent no. 3-Education Officer shall process the proposals within four weeks of its receipt.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-