



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

36 WRIT PETITION NO. 11018 OF 2024

BHUSHAN ELECTRICALS THROUGH ITS PROP KAVITA BHUSHAN TAYDE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kishorkumar Bharatrao Borde

Addl.G.P. for Respondent no. 1 : Mr. M.M. Nerlikar

Advocate for Respondent nos. 2 & 3 : Mr. S.R. Dheple

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 07.10.2024

PER COURT :

The petitioner has filed the petition having participated in the tender being floated by respondent nos. 2 and 3 and has been anxious that the process is not being taken away.

2. The learned advocate, who appears for respondent nos. 2 and 3 *suo moto*, on instructions, submits that appropriate steps would be taken and the tender process would be concluded.

3. In light of such statement, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the matter would become infructuous and may be disposed of.

4. The purpose of filing of the petition having been served, it is disposed of.

( SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-