

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

980 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 702 OF 2023
IN WP/10114/2022

Indrakumar Trimbakrao Kale
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra and another

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Suryawanshi Kamlakar J.
Addl. PP for the respondent – State : Mr. M.M. Nerlikar
Advocate for respondent no. 2 : Mr. Vaibhav Deshmukh
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 03 JANUARY 2024

PC :

The parties are unanimous that the order of which the petitioner has been alleging contempt, has been duly complied with.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner, on written instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition. He places on record a praecipe under the signature of the petitioner.

3. The petition is disposed of.

**[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE**

**[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE**

arp/