



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

22 WRIT PETITION NO.10991 OF 2024

1. Gausbee Noor Shaikh
 2. Noor Maulasab Shaikh
- .. Petitioners**

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra
Through The Secretary And Others

.. Respondents

...

Mr. R. P. Adgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. A. Gaikwad, AGP for Respondent No.1/State.
Mr. S. P. Urgunde, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

DATE : 07 OCTOBER 2024

ORDER :

. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioners, learned AGP for respondent No.1 and learned Advocate Mr. S. P. Urgunde appearing *suo moto* for respondent No.3.

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioners is now restricting his argument for deciding the representation dated 18.09.2024 by respondent No.3.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No.3, on instructions, submits that the representation would be decided within a period

of one month.

4. In view of this development and the statement, we dispose of the writ petition by giving directions to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 18.09.2024 within a period of one month from today on its own merits as per the policy.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm