



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

18 WRIT PETITION NO.10964 OF 2024

SACHIN SURESH MAHALE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. R. A. Jaiswal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A. V. Lavte, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 3/State.

Mr. P. B. Patil (Borse), Advocate for Respondent No.4.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 07 OCTOBER 2024

ORDER :

. Present petition has been filed for directions to respondent No.2 to decide the tribe claim of the petitioner immediately and second prayer is that respondent No.3 should not take coercive action against the petitioner. It is to be noted that taking into consideration the disqualification suffered, we are not inclined to grant relief of prayer clause 'B'.

2. Learned AGP after waiving notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on instructions from respondent No.2 makes a statement that the it will take twelve months to decide the claim of the petitioner in view of the pendency of the matters before the

Committee.

3. We accept the said statement as undertaking and dispose of the writ petition by directing respondent No.2 to decide the claim of the petitioner within a period of twelve months from today.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm