

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

936 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10622 OF 2024
IN SECOND APPEAL NO. 119 OF 2024
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5376 OF 2024
IN SA/119/2024
WITH
IN SA/119/2024

VIJAYSINGH BABUSINGH PARDESHI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
KAZI AHMED MUJTABA MOHAMMAD

...

Mr. R.J. Nirmal, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. H.V. Tungar, Advocate holding for Ms. Sabahat Kazi,
Advocate for the respondent.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 10 OCTOBER 2024

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. By way of this application the appellants want to add additional four grounds as mentioned in the application. However, on going through the appeal memo, the ground Nos.3 and 4 mentioned in the application are already there in the appeal memo as Ground Nos. 9 and 13. Further, the first two additional grounds mentioned in the application are in respect of legal provision and therefore, those can be argued directly. As such, there is no necessity to add the aforesaid additional grounds mentioned in the application in the appeal memo as

the existing grounds have already covered the same. As such, the Civil Application No. 10622 of 2024 stands rejected and disposed of.

3. List the other matters to 14.11.2024.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)