



{1}

917 sr.no..odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONT. PETITION NO. 806 OF 2024
IN WP/4201/2024**

Kallinath Shivyogi Dhange

....Petitioner

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Copy To Be Served On Government Pleader Of High
Court Aurangabad

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Abasaheb Dharmaji Shinde
AGP for Respondents: Mrs. P.R. Bharaswadkar.

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 27th SEPTEMBER, 2024.

P.C. :-

1. Present petition has been filed for following reliefs :-

[B]

2. WE have considered paragraph No.3 of the order dated
23.4.2024 in W.P. No. 4201 of 2024, which runs thus :-

“ .’

3. The learned advocate for petitioner is insisting that in view
of the observations by this Court, the Collector ought to have looked into
the aspect of alleged financial assistance grabbed by respondent No.5 or
anybody else, however, nothing has been done, though the fact was
brought to the notice of respondent – Collector.

4. Here, it is to be noted that those were observations that too, giving a discretion to the District Collector to look into the aspect because there is use of the word `may'. There were no specific directions or there was no specific order that was passed. Under such circumstances, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act can be taken.

5. Petition stands dismissed at the threshold.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J]

grt/-