



(1)

942ra238.24

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**942 REVIEW APPLICATION NO.238 OF 2024
IN WP/5154/2022**

**PANDHARI DAGADU JADHAV AND OTHERS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

Mr. A. B. Chormal, Advocate for the applicants
Mr. V. S. Badakh, AGP for the respondents/State

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 18th OCTOBER, 2024

P. C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicants. He has raised the ground in the review application saying that this court while passing the judgment has not considered that opportunity of cross-examination of witnesses was not given to the applicants.

2. This court in para No.9 of the judgment clearly observed that since no witness was examined by the respondents, there is no question of cross-examination of the

witnesses. This court also considered the the judgment in the case of Mennath Sitaram Jaygude and others Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors in WP/521/202 and in the case of Sudhir Yashwant Dhangade Vs Ankush Kashiram Bole and others reported in 2019 (2) Bom. C. R. 145.

3. Today also this court asked the learned advocate for the applicants as to whether witnesses were examined by the respondents, there is no answer except that Tahasildar recorded the statements of the parties. Except this no any other ground is raised in the application. Therefore, the review is without any substance and same is therefore dismissed.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]