



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

35 WRIT PETITION NO. 10609 OF 2024

SANGMESHVAR ISHWAR MUSTAPURE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

....

Mr K. P. Rodge, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr V. M. Kagne, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 & 2

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
Y. G. KHOBRADE, JJ.**

DATE : 30th September, 2024

PER COURT:

1. This matter was heard for sometime. We showed our disinclination. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits on instructions that the Petitioner would challenge the mutation entries in favour of the Grampanchayat, before the appropriate Authority, which is empowered by law, to deal with such challenge.

2. We have already dealt with a similar request of deciding the representation against a mutation entry by the same Authority, who has carried out the mutation entry, as if it is a

(2)

review application. Vide our judgment dated 16/01/2024, delivered in Writ Petition No.591/2024 [(**Dhananjay Deoram Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra and others**), 2024 (3) **Mh.L.J. 461**], we have concluded that, the law does not invest the said Authority with the power to review its order of carrying out mutation entries.

3. With the liberty, as above, **this Writ Petition is disposed off.** All contentions of the litigating parties are kept open.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk