



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

923 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 17245 OF 2022
IN SAST/26534/2022

Muktabai Hari Rathod And Ors.

VERSUS

Ramesh Zumbarlal Patni And Another

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. D.P. Palodkar

Advocate for Respondents : Mr. Nahar A.P. h/f N.S. Muthiyan

...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

Dated : December 04, 2024

PER COURT :-

1. The applicants seeks to condone the delay of 71 days caused in filing the second appeal.
2. Heard learned advocates appearing for the respective parties.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the applicants submits that they are poor agriculturists and illiterate persons and suffered huge losses during corona pandemic period. Their advocate informed about impugned order and advised to file the appeal. However, due to financial crises they could not arrange requisite expenses within time. Delay is not intentional and not deliberated.
4. Contentions in the application are not controverted by the respondents.

5. Looking to the nature of dispute and days of delay, case is made out to condone the delay. Hence, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B' and **disposed off**.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

...

aaa-