



drp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.10032 OF 2024

Sachin Subhash Kuber

PETITIONER

VERSUS

The Chief Secretary Government of Maharashtra
and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Mohd. Aseem Mohd Abdul Kaleem, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. N. D. Batule, AGP for respondent - State

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.J.**

DATE : 18 SEPTEMBER, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Parties.
2. We are not inclined to interfere at the behest of the Petitioner, who has filed this petition on apprehension that though the Petitioner has lodged his objection, the State Government may not follow the second Proviso to Section 31 of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act. It is settled proposition of law, which need not be reiterated that, the actions of the State will have to conform with the legal provisions. Learned AGP states that,

even otherwise, the Writ Petition is premature, as the objections are to be decided within 6 months.

3. With that observation, Writ Petition is disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)