



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

31 CONT. PETITION NO.777 OF 2024
IN WP/11901/2022

TUKARAM ASHRUBA GADEKAR

VERSUS

MANUKUMAR SRIVASTAV

...

Mr. Digambar Sathe h/f Mr. Y. L. Bidve, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for the respondents/State.

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

DATE : 07 OCTOBER 2024

ORDER :

. Learned AGP is producing affidavit-in-reply by Shri. Krushna Nagnathrao Kangule, Tahsildar, Phulambri, giving details of the steps and the order, which he has passed on 04.07.2024, whereupon he has disposed of the application taking note of the decision of this Court bench at Nagpur.

2. Though the learned Advocate for the petitioner has certain objections, we say that the petitioner's remedy, if at all, aggrieved

by the order passed by the Tahsildar is elsewhere. Under such circumstance, there is no necessity to take further action under the Contempt of Courts Act.

3. The contempt petition stands dismissed.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm