



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

922 WRIT PETITION NO. 10161 OF 2024

PRAVIN ANNASAHEB CHITTEKAR

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE UPPER
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

....

Ms Priyanka R. Deshpande, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr V. M. Kagne, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 and 2

Mr P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and 4

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

AND

Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 20th September, 2024

PER COURT:

1. Considering the prayer clauses (B), (C) and (D), we were not inclined to entertain this Writ Petition since a Writ of Mandamus cannot be issued to declare a litigant as being qualified, for being selected and for being served with an appointment order.

2. The learned A.G.P. submits that the Petitioner's proposal is pending with the Committee, which is chaired by the

(2)

District Collector, Jalna. In less than 30 days, the Collector would decide the said proposal on it's own merits.

3. In view of the above statement, **this Writ Petition is disposed off.**

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk